

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,882	05/30/2001	Robert W. Naismith	12773US02	7100
7590 09/30/2004			EXAMINER	
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.			NAWAZ, ASAD M	
500 W. Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5			2155	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/867,882	NAISMITH, ROBERT W.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Asad M Nawaz	2155		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, mareply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	·		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2001</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠ o	bjected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	*			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		een received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) 🔲 Notice	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040923		

Application/Control Number: 09/867,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are presented.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig 2, 250. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Content of Specification

- 3. <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of

Application/Control Number: 09/867,882

Art Unit: 2155

the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

(2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklin et al (US Patent No. 6,338,050) further in view of Plainfield et al (US Patent No. 5,893,075).

As to claim 1, Conklin teaches a system for generating a targeted response including an internet-accessible compilation of information regarding a client corporation and a specific objectives of said client corporation; (Abstract; col 14, lines 1-14)

an e-mail database including e-mail addresses of potential interested contacts; (col 14, lines 24-30; col 19, lines 47-50; col 32, lines 10-15)

Application/Control Number: 09/867,882

Art Unit: 2155

a login for said compilation for gathering user's contact information and providing a password to users; (col 20, lines 1-3; col 20, lines 51-53; col 26, line 2; col 32, lines 36-40)

a profile database for storing said user's contact information; col 14, lines 24-30; col 19, lines 47-50)

a use statistics database for storing web usage statistics related to said internet-accessible compilation; (Abstract; col 14, lines 24-30, 50-51, and 60-65; col 19, lines 44-45;)

Conklin, however, does not explicitly indicate the limitation of claim 1, "a targeted response generator analyzing said usage statistics to determine a targeted response from a variety of target responses based on a determination of user interest."

Plainfield teaches an interactive, customer-accessible data processing system that induces customers to enter information about themselves (i.e. name, address, dates, answers to survey questions) and thus enabling businesses to generate promotional messages tailored to customers based on the entered information.

(Abstract; col 3, lines 35-38; col 6, lines55-62)

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Plainfield into those of Conklin to make the system more efficient. By adding more data to that analyzed by Planfield's disclosure, a better understanding of the potential customer would yield a superior positive response rate. Thus, a personal invitation to those targeted customers is more likely to be opened, read, and considered positively. (Plainfield, col 1, lines 18-41)

Application/Control Number: 09/867,882

Art Unit: 2155

Claim 10 is rejected for essentially being the method of system claim 1.

As to claims 2 and 11, Conklin teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10, wherein said internet-accessible compilation is a web page. (Abstract)

As to claims 3 and 12, Conklin teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said internet-accessible compilation is a bulletin board system. (col 1, lines 48-67) Although, Conklin rejects the use of bulletin boards, the rejection is on the basis of a perceived inability to easily produce contracts about negotiations conducted on a bulletin board based system.

As to claims 4 and 13, Plainfield teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said user contact information includes postal mail information. (col 4, lines 8-15)

As to claims 5 and 14, Conklin teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said user contact information includes professional contact information. (col 19, lines 47-50)

As to claims 7 and 16, Plainfield teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said targeted response includes at least one of an individualized email, a phone contact or a postal letter. (col 7, lines 19-43)

As to claims 8 and 17, Conklin teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said e-mail database additionally alerts the potentially interested contacts by using a e-mail alert. (col 25, lines 52-67)

Application/Control Number: 09/867,882 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 9 and 18, Conklin teaches the system of claim 1 and the method of claim 10 wherein said e-mail alert includes the URL of the profile database. (col 25 and 26, lines 52-67 and 1-10 respectively)

5. Claims 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklin(US Patent No. 6,338,050) and Plainfield (US Patent No. 5,893,075) as applied to claims 2 and 11 above, and further in view of Davis et al (US Patent No. 5,796,952).

As to claims 6 and 15, Davis teaches the system of claim 2 and the method of claim 11 wherein said usage statistics include at least one of session length, most requested pages, top entry pages, most downloaded files, most active organizations, and top referring sites. (Abstract; col 8, lines 6-20;)

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Davis into those of Conklin and Plainfield to make the system more efficient. By adding more specified and relevant data to that analyzed by Planfield's disclosure, a better understanding of the potential customer would yield a superior positive response rate. Thus, a personal invitation to those targeted customers is more likely to be opened, read, and considered positively. (Plainfield, col 1, lines 18-41)

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M Nawaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Art Unit: 2155

0094. Aftern October 18th, 2004, the examiner can be reached at (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER